

Cover-up of alleged Crimes by NUJ Officials and Staff

Distribution Proviso

The author and publisher has restricted access to this series of articles to National Union of Journalists (NUJ) and International Federation of Journalists (IFJ) members (also named parties) pending investigation of alleged criminal activity and legal action under: Trade Union and Labour Relations (Consolidation) Act 1992; Solicitors' Code of Conduct 2007; Solicitors Act 1974; Administration of Justice Act 1985; Courts and Legal Services Act 1990; Fraud Act 2006; Employment Equality (Age) Regulations 2006; Vienna Convention on Consular Relations; and, Universal Declaration of Human Rights.

This proviso in no way restricts NUJ and IFJ members from releasing excerpts (with accreditation) to interested third parties including news media. Separate exposés cover unethical and illegal activities by London Freelance Branch officials in a consort with National Executive Council officers.

Independent investigation by law enforcement agencies involves an alleged pattern or practice of joint and several illegal or criminal activities by: National Union of Journalists (NUJ) executives, lawyers, and staff; National Executive Council (NEC) officers; and, London Freelance Branch (LFB) officials.

The author has little personal knowledge of other branches and chapels which do not form part of intended civil and criminal complaints; therefore, he has no opinion on their activities. Adverse comments, all verified and validated to insure accurate reporting, meet legal requirements.

Introduction

Anarchy and apathy have resulted in an out-of-control, extreme left cabal of National Union of Journalists (NUJ) executive officers with a total disregard for rules and law. They have descended to a level of lawlessness and disorder. Members can only rectify the situation by changing the procedure for proposing and seconding NEC candidates and then enforcing compulsory voting. The present NUJ electoral system allows a pernicious minority to gain election through the apathy or lethargy of members who do not stand for election or vote.

Psychopathic (sociopathic) officials with their diabolical ideologies do not wreak the havoc; instead, their sycophants parrot their politically expedient theories to the detriment of the public good. Current practices allow subliterate people to occupy important positions then impose an extreme left dogma to the detriment of a majority of members. A political theory, anarchy holds all forms of governmental authority unnecessary and undesirable. It advocates a society based on voluntary cooperation and free association of individuals and groups despite rules and laws to the contrary. It destroys democracy in favor of special interest groups.

[\[Sociopath\]](#)

The current situation points to a real need to actively promote opposing candidates in elections to insure that incumbents do not retire in place or run unopposed. If members do not meet their responsibility to participate in elections, then continuation of membership must depend upon rules that compel them to vote. *[Compulsory Balloting - WIP]*

Executive officers and staffers presently run completely out of control. NUJ lawyers sanction fraudulent interpretation and arbitrary denial of due process of law. Widespread corruption among NUJ officials, National Executive Committee and branch officers, government ministers, and regulatory officials, implicitly grant them impunity. Members must learn from the current situation and overcome the extant apathy to insure that multiple candidates run for all elected positions: essential to maintaining democracy and disposing of recalcitrant cabals.

Humiliation and Deceit

By a threat to censor email messages and a demand that all communication should pass through her, Michelle Stanistreet, NUJ Deputy General Secretary contravenes international freedom of speech protocols, Internet denial-of-service laws, NUJ member rules, and human rights laws. Moreover, Stanistreet started a fishing expedition (19 Jan 10) when she published the misleading statement:

Your repeated complaints to external organisations and bodies [against NUJ officials] have been dismissed.

External organisations have not legally dismissed any complaints; instead, Roy M Mincoff, NUJ In-House Solicitor interfered with those procedures and tried to politically influence them. As a result of Mincoff's professional misconduct, several staff members working for those organisations now face investigation and prosecution or dismissal for making arbitrary determinations for political expedience. In addition, several law enforcement agencies commenced investigation of NUJ more than a year ago and Metropolitan Police has made an arrest on a related issue. Those agencies continue their investigation with a number of new complaints pending. [\[Mincoff's Flying Circus\]](#)

The author has an ethical responsibility to allow accused people connected with pending criminal complaints to explain themselves and afford them time to take legal advice before filing irrevocable police complaints. Gayle Baldwin, Sharon Gilbert, and Audrey Witter (NUJ staff members) reached that stage; however, Stanistreet insinuated herself into their private affairs to obstruct the process which could further prejudice those staffers. Stanistreet, who does not possess solicitor's credentials, has acted in behalf of NUJ staff in an attempt to quash criminal complaints without due process of law. By that, she has arguably made herself an accessory after the fact to criminal activity as an unqualified solicitor.

The author gave staffers Baldwin, Gilbert, and Witter, also their personal solicitors, a chance before a publication deadline to mitigate the damage that they caused. A notice sent to them stated:

Neglect by them or their solicitors to respond before 29 January 2010 will result in a filing of criminal complaints with Metropolitan Police. This notice to individuals in their personal capacity allows them to mitigate alleged criminal activity. The author suggests that recipients immediately obtain legal advice from a solicitor registered with Solicitors Regulation Authority (independent of NUJ/IFJ) then instruct that solicitor to respond to this final notice before the deadline. A Nuremberg defense that they followed orders will not help Baldwin, Gilbert, and Witter also other staff members or National Executive Council (NEC) officers when they face a discovery process. Baldwin must substantiate her refusal to grant a member access to NUJ ADM Southport; Gilbert

must mitigate her alleged misappropriation of member funds and neglect to furnish services; and, Witter must justify her alleged forgery of minutes and denial of access to them.

Case Studies: [*Baldwin*] [*Gilbert*] [*Witter*]

Stanistreet claimed:

You [the author] have publicly asserted that a police investigation is ongoing into “abuses” carried out by NUJ officials and staff yet there is no evidence of any such inquiry. I find it perplexing that the police ostensibly carrying out this investigation have not found it necessary to inform, or indeed question, the NUJ.

Stanistreet neither cited the assertions that she claimed nor refuted them. What makes her think that police will consult or question her about ongoing investigations: especially with the reputation of NUJ officials for bringing frivolous charges against police or provoking officers while on duty?

European Convention on Human Rights - Independent Public Inquiry

Arlene McCarthy, Member European Parliament (MEP), started inquiries into alleged criminal actions by Sharon Gilbert (26 Jan 10). First elected in 1994, McCarthy gained reelection in 1999 to represent the newly formed North West England constituency. She reached top of the list of Labour Party candidates in the 2009 European elections. Her aid wrote:

In the first instance Ms McCarthy will be raising your case directly with the International Federation of Journalists and the National Union of Journalists. As soon as Ms McCarthy is in receipt of responses from these organisations, then your case will be reviewed again by Ms McCarthy and a further response will follow. [[Public Inquiry](#)]

Obstruction of Due Process of Law

Stanistreet disingenuously published the statement:

As a member of the NUJ, there are procedures in place to raise complaints and issues. You have, I am sure, a copy of the rule book – if not, you will find one easily accessible on our website. If you have evidence of fraud, misappropriation of funds or indeed any other such criminal behaviour, I will be happy to speak to the police. [[Stanistreet Libel](#)]

Ironically, Stanistreet implies that she will turn in Jeremy Dear, Roy M Mincoff, herself, and NEC officers to police for their ongoing crimes. Stanistreet knows that, in a consort with her confederates, she has neither followed, nor has any intent to follow, NUJ rules and laws: behavior commensurable with aberrant behavior by the majority of NUJ officials since Jeremy Dear took office.

NUJ rules and minutes do not classify as “easily accessible” when they reside on restricted web sites. Even with a password, members cannot read those rules; instead, they must download them to a local computer which entails installation of special applications then open files which prevents ready access by mobiles and other devices. NUJ has deliberately buried the rules to and censors minutes that suit their political purposes by slanting publication and subverting attempts by members who need them to obtain due process of law.

In keeping with the behavior of her sociopathic superior officer, Stanistreet, elected 2008 with minimal experience and the use of a slanted balloting system, has parroted libelous language

prepared by Roy M Mincoff, NUJ In-house Solicitor. Mincoff dictates directives and legal briefs without any substantiation of facts or citation of rules by posing as NUJ Legal Officer. Solicitors Regulation Authority currently has him under investigation for repeated professional misconduct.

Under the present anarchic leadership, NUJ officials and their sycophantic officers have more interest in self-aggrandizement than providing service to NUJ members. Those members pay dues to insure that their officials receive generous salaries and their officers receive extraordinary expenses or freelance fees yet they receive virtually no service in return.

John Toner, National Freelance Organiser variously claimed when questioned about member services:

NUJ is a campaigning organisation not setup to support individual members.

[NUJ] . . . is a lobbying and campaigning body - it does not appoint lawyers to represent individuals.

By those statements, Toner contradicted the offers made to new members about NUJ services and legal representation.

In another attempt to derogate members who legally challenge criminal activity, Stanistreet obtained a leaked copy of a private communication (15 Jan 10) addressed to NUJ staffers Gayle Baldwin, Sharon Gilbert, and Audrey Witter. The staffers individually acknowledged receipt and confirmed that they had read the message. Stanistreet made unsubstantiated accusations of threats and intimidation, then internationally distributed malicious libel against the complainant. [\[Derogation\]](#)

Stanistreet wrote (19 Jan 10):

Your position as an NUJ member is not a licence to accuse or abuse fellow members or staff of this union. Sending emails that are clearly designed to threaten and intimidate cannot be tolerated. To that end I am blocking your emails to staff. If you have something you wish to say about or to employees of this union, please address it to myself as Deputy General Secretary and I will respond accordingly.

Another irony: Dear as a matter of course has deliberately ignored legitimate requests for public information. For a decade, in a consort with Mincoff, he falsely cited withholding of documents in accordance with Data Protection Act 1998 (DPA).

Stanistreet now threatens to block dissemination of information which describes staff crimes by using illegal censorship and prior restraint: an international crime in itself. By her threat to censor email messages and her demand that all communication should pass through her, Stanistreet contravened international freedom of speech protocols, international Internet denial-of-service laws, NUJ member rules, and human rights laws.

A scurrilous letter disseminated internationally by Stanistreet interfered with legal process. Stanistreet does not possess law credentials, must not insinuate herself into private legal issues, and cannot legally act in behalf of NUJ staff in an attempt to quash criminal complaints. By that, she has allegedly made herself an accessory after the fact to criminal activity. [\[Stanistreet Libel\]](#)

Stanistreet does not supervise staff members Baldwin/Gilbert/Witter yet has chosen to insinuate herself into criminal issues that concern them. By that, she has endeavored to coerce an NUJ member and principal police witness by harassment, derogation, and elder abuse in cases pending complaints to Metropolitan Police.

The author has neither communicated with Stanistreet nor written about her although he has built a large background file containing details of her behavior and sycophancy since her election (2008). In fact, he sent email notices only to Baldwin/Gilbert/Witter. He suspects from analysis and comparison of the Stanistreet rhetoric that Mincoff drafted or edited the NUJ letter using libelous boilerplate that he used previously. Stanistreet has effectively made herself an accessory to criminal activity by parroting this rogue lawyer.

As a willing straw, Stanistreet has published and widely disseminated libel without first challenging the content of the message that the author wrote privately to staffers to give them an opportunity to prepare a defense or to mitigate damage. Her preposterous statements certainly open the door to police action which the author intends to file under a variety of rules and regulations dealing with harassment, fraud, agism, censorship, and human rights.

By her disingenuous act, Stanistreet has effectively strengthened accusations of criminal activity against staff members. By mailing the libelous private communication to an international audience, Stanistreet also opened the door for *Contra Cabal* to publish documents that it previously restricted to members. Those documents describe the police complaints. They name and shame staffers allegedly involved in criminality and officers who have tried to cover up criminal activity by coercion and harassment.

[\[Nmesis\]](#)

© Copyright 2010 by Paul Trummel
All Rights Reserved: 19 Jan 10/14:15
Edition: #880-74-00/10-0201-1704
Feedback: Webspinner@ContraCabal.org